

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI
03.

O.A. No. 139 of 2012

Hav (MT) Bharamanna Navi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Anr.

.....Respondents

For petitioner: Mr. Arun Nischal, Advocate.

For respondents: Ms. Deepakshi Jain, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR, CHAIRPERSON.

HON'BLE LT. GEN. M.L. NAIDU, MEMBER.

ORDER

12.04.2012

1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner.
2. Petitioner was sent to Sudan but because of the closure of the mission, the Petitioner came back. Now by this petition he prays that he may be considered for another foreign assignment and he should not be made to go for selection process again as it is not done in the case of Officers and as such he is being discriminated. We regret there is no discrimination. The Petitioner has to apply afresh in case he wants to apply for a foreign assignment. The Officers and the PBOR are two different classes and there is no question of discrimination as both are not similarly situated. Hence, we do not find any merit in this petition and same is dismissed with no order as to costs.

A.K. MATHUR
(Chairperson)

M.L. NAIDU
(Member)

New Delhi
April 12, 2012
dn